

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/598,535	Applicant(s) HERDEWIJN ET AL.		
	Examiner Lawrence E. Crane	Art Unit 1623		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>supplemental amendment received.</u>			
(1) <u>Lawrence E. Crane.</u>	(3) _____.			
(2) <u>Sean Edman.</u>	(4) _____.			
Date of Interview: <u>09 July 2011</u>	Time: _____			
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____				
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: <i>minor 112, second paragraph rejections</i> Claims discussed: <i>all remaining of record</i> Prior art documents discussed: <i>None</i>				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Applicant was advised of minor errors in previous e-mailed proposed amendments. Applicant agreed to provide a directly filed supplemental amendment. Examiner indicated probable allowance based on previous submissions.</i>				
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
/_____ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623				
(_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)				